Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,525	WERBITT, JULLE M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	IG T. AN	3687
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>IG T. AN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gary Harkcom.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 December 2010	Time: <u>3:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Non-Final Action		
Claims discussed: 1, 39, 50, 59, 69, 86, 110, 118, 120, 122, 124, 151 and 153		
Prior art documents discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner and the Appolicant discussed what element could be distinct the application from all the prior arts. The Applicant and the Examiner agreed that proposed amendment make by the Examiner will make this application allowable. The Applicant agreed to make suggested amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Ig T An/ Examiner, Art Unit 3687	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Terase (US 7257547), Mayer et al. (US 20020026364), Cogen (US 20020138350), Baratz (US 20040054585), Toth (US 20030078793), Camaisa et al. (US 5845263), Ragsdale-Elliott et al. (US 20020147647), Leifer (US 20030088469) and Fano (US 6317718).